

GRAD-C6-2011lab Statistics II: Statistical Modeling & Causal Inference

Spring 2022 Course Evaluation

Santonja

Participants: 24 Evaluation: 15 Response Rate: 62,50%

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A	Total	Average	Median	Variance
Expertise of instructor (10=highly satisfied)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	7	1	15	9,29	9,50	0,91
Atmosphere in tutorial (10=highly satisfied)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	10	1	15	9,36	10,00	1,85
Availability of instructor outside of class (10=highly satisfied)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	6	2	15	9,08	9,00	1,16
Overall satisfaction with the instructor (10=highly satisfied)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	9	1	15	9,29	10,00	1,44
Overall satisfaction with the tutorial (10=highly satisfied)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	9	1	15	9,29	10,00	1,44

Question	Answer
What did you like about the tutorial?	Tutor was always very helpful and motivated.
	The labs are clearer than the classes. The theory is better developed.
	I enjoyed the expertise of Adrian and his attitude of teaching. He went over course material, engaged directly with the questions students posed, as well as always offered the chance to receive feedback from us. He made sure we felt comfortable not only in class, but also with the pacing of the class and the assignments. Everyone should have Adrian as their TA.
	Everything was explained in every detail without being complicated. I enjoyed a lot the way Adrian teaches.
	I like that Adrian reviews and explain details about teoric aspects that professor did not explain during class. Moreover, I like that Adrian always wants to help, specially with some problems with R.
	The Recap at the beginning.
	Well structured reviews of the material as well as overview of the new R material. Always a positive attitude from the instructor and creative answers and focus. Always eager to receive our feedback.
	Applying the theoretical skills in R really helped to understand the concepts and to fell like this hole course is actually worth something
	Highly helpful to understand the concepts and their applicability
	Adrian was very good in explaining statistics and was able to answer additional questions in a very professional and informational manner.
	the labs explained the concepts of the lecture very clearly
	I had the opportunity of attending many labs and I must say that Adrian's lessons were the clearest ones.
	Adrian was a really competent instructor. His summaries of lecture content were very useful for distilling a lot of information into key concepts. Adrian also did a good job of encouraging participation while recognizing that it was a 18h lab, and not making it too stressful. He really made the lab worth attending, I never felt like my time was wasted.
What did you not like about the tutorial?	The only thing I did not like about the tutorial was the timing. I understand it is hard to find a time but Wednesdays 6-8pm made it difficult to manage the work load of not just this class but also commitments to other classes, group projects etc. If possible, please do not make labs so late in the evenings, especially right in the middle of the week. For us students who work part-time, it is intense.
	I didn't like the time frame from 6-8pm.
	I don't like that explanation of R were, in general, very short. In my case, it was the first time I used this program and these topics, so I would like to have better information about each command, at least about the most important.
	I think it is not helpful to call out people who did not raise their hands. We re adults and we can make a decision whether we want to say something or not. This is not due to lack of knowledge but may also be due to public speaking anxiety. Furthermore, I believe it is not fair to have different content taught in different labs (modelsummary function). Furthermore, I raised a concern that I did not want to raise with Simon and was told to ask Simon himself. I had the impressions the TAs in general (from what I also heard from my peers) were affraid of Simon and rather wanted the students to talk to him. I believe it would have been helpful to get more support from the TAs.
	The timing of the tutorial, beginning at 6PM is very very difficult for such an quantitatively difficult class.
	The two online sessions :(
	I would have preferred another time but other than that I cannot criticize anything substantial.
What suggestions do you have to improve the lecture and/or tutorial?	As I said, please find better times of hosting this tutorial. Other than that, Adrian did one of the best jobs in teaching and being a TA. He even listened to our feedback of the Professor and I appreciate that he heard our concerns.
	I think the labs should be mandatory. Also, Adrian could be the professor in case Simon is not willing to.
	I believe it would be better to spend more time giving explanation about R; maybe, including more examples.
	Not call out people in class to say something. Talk to Simon and actually give some feedback? Teach the same content in the labs. And also help more with R, since our lab concentrated more on theory (which was helpful) but not necessarily for the Assignment. Also grade the assignments coherently. It cannot be that the student's grades are depending on the TA who is grading. That is simply not fair. And also they were graded very hard. This is not very helpful in order to keep up the motivation for stats.
	No suggestions, it was well designed and consistent
	A bit more exercises maybe ?
	Perhaps it would be helpful to have the tutorials already in the html formats
	keep up the great work!